SPECIAL & REGULAR MEETINGS OF THE SONOMA CITY COUNCIL
&
CONCURRENT REGULAR MEETING OF SONOMA CITY COUNCIL AS THE SUCCESSOR AGENCY TO THE DISSOLVED SONOMA COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT AGENCY

Community Meeting Room, 177 First Street West, Sonoma CA

Monday, October 20, 2014
5:30 p.m. Closed Session (Special Meeting)
6:00 p.m. Regular Meeting
****
MINUTES

City Council
Tom Rouse, Mayor
David Cook, Mayor Pro Tem
Steve Barbose
Ken Brown
Laurie Gallian

SPECIAL MEETING - CLOSED SESSION

1. CALL TO ORDER

At 5:30 p.m., Mayor Rouse called the meeting to order. No one from the public was present to provide public testimony on the closed session item. The Council recessed into closed session with all members present. City Manager Giovanatto, Planning Director Goodison and City Attorney Walter were also present.

2. CLOSED SESSION:

CONFERENCE WITH REAL PROPERTY NEGOTIATORS. Property: Old Fire Station, 32 Patten Street, Sonoma. Agency Negotiators: Carol Giovanatto, City Manager, David Goodison, Planning Director, and Jeffrey Walter, City Attorney. Negotiating Parties: The Other Guys, Inc. Under Negotiation: Price and terms of payment. Pursuant to Government Code §54956.8.

CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL – ANTICIPATED LITIGATION. Significant exposure to litigation, one potential case. Pursuant to Government Code §54956.9(d)(2)

REGULAR MEETING

The City Council reconvened in open session and Mayor Rouse called the meeting to order at 6:00 p.m. Mr. Ron Willis led the Pledge of Allegiance.

PRESENT: Mayor Rouse and Councilmembers Barbose, Brown, Cook and Gallian
ABSENT: None

ALSO PRESENT: City Manager Giovanatto, Assistant City Manager/City Clerk Johann, City Attorney Walter, Assistant City Attorney Pistole, Planning Director Goodison, Public Works Director Takasugi, and Associate Planner Atkins

REPORT ON CLOSED SESSION – Mayor Rouse stated that no reportable action had been taken while in closed session.

1. COMMENTS FROM THE PUBLIC

Karla Noyes reported the measures she had taken personally to reduce water consumption and questioned when the City would stop allowing new water connections.

Ron Willis stated that the City Council should take positions regarding State and Federal issues because they affect Sonoma Valley residents.

Don Bandur requested replacement of three sets of rings that had been installed along the bike path years ago.

Rachel Hundley stated her availability at the Farmers Market and through her campaign website.

David Eichar asked the Council to go on record in opposition to fracking.

2. MEETING DEDICATIONS

Clm. Gallian dedicated the meeting to Donna Lewis.

Clm. Cook dedicated the meeting to Joanne Brown.

Clm. Brown dedicated the meeting to Irma Kaye.

3. PRESENTATIONS

Item 3A: Domestic Violence Awareness Month Proclamation

Mayor Rouse read aloud the proclamation and presented it to Mary Beth Cerjan, YWCA Sonoma County. Ms. Cerjan reported on their ongoing activities and programs and thanked the Council for its support.

Item 3B: Sonoma Yoga Fest Weekend Proclamation

Mayor Rouse read aloud the proclamation and presented it to Lisa Murray of Yoga Community. Ms. Murray provided details and invited all to the upcoming Sonoma Yoga Fest Weekend activities.

Item 3C: Sonoma Tourism Improvement District Annual Report

Bill Blum presented the Sonoma Tourism Improvement District (STID) Annual Report for fiscal year 2013/14. He stated that the STID collaborated with the Sonoma Valley Visitor Bureau to develop and implement a comprehensive plan to market Sonoma as an overnight destination with the goal of increasing occupancy and room revenue during the off-season and midweek. He stated they had provided grants to the Sonoma International Film Festival, Valley of the Moon Vintage Festival, Sonoma Valley Museum of Art and the Valley of the Moon Certified Farmers Market. Mr. Blum presented Council with the STID 2013/14 financial statement.

Wendy Peterson, Sonoma Valley Visitor Bureau, described the marketing campaign in detail and stated it had been a very successful year.

4. CONSENT CALENDAR/AGENDA ORDER – CITY COUNCIL

City Manager Giovanatto requested the addition of an item to the Consent Calendar as Item 4D entitled "Approval of a Letter of Support for Area Agency on Aging's Application for development of coordinated transportation services and expansion of volunteer driver programs in Sonoma County". She stated that the request for support came in after the agenda was posted and that the Agency needed the letter by October 30. It was moved by Clm. Barbose, seconded by Clm. Brown, to add the item to the Consent Calendar. The motion carried unanimously.

Item 4A: **Waive Further reading and Authorize Introduction and/or Adoption of Ordinances by Title Only.**

Item 4B: **Approval of the Minutes of the September 15 and September 29, 2014 City Council meetings.**

Item 4C: **Approval of the allocation of a free day use at the Sonoma Veteran's Memorial Building as requested by Pets Lifeline for a fundraising event on December 28, 2014.**

Item 4D: **Approval of a Letter of Support for Area Agency on Aging's Application for development of coordinated transportation services and expansion of volunteer driver programs in Sonoma County.**

The public comment period was opened and closed with none received. Mayor Rouse announced he would abstain from voting on the September 29 minutes. It was moved by Clm. Gallian, seconded by Clm. Brown, to approve the consent calendar. The motion carried unanimously except that Mayor Rouse abstained from voting on the September 29 minutes.

5. CONSENT CALENDAR/AGENDA ORDER – CITY COUNCIL AS SUCCESSOR AGENCY

Item 5A: **Approval of the portions of the Minutes of September 15 and September 29, 2014 City Council meetings pertaining to the Successor Agency.**

The public comment period was opened and closed with none received. Mayor Rouse announced he would abstain from voting on the September 29 minutes. It was moved by Clm. Gallian, seconded by Clm. Cook, to approve the consent calendar. The motion carried unanimously except that Mayor Rouse abstained from voting on the September 29 minutes.

6. PUBLIC HEARINGS

Item 6A: **Discussion, consideration and possible action to adopt resolution finding no majority protest to the levy of assessments, renewing the Sonoma Tourism Improvement District, and approving the Management District Plan and an agreement between the Sonoma Tourism Improvement District Corporation and the City of Sonoma.**

Mayor Rouse opened the public hearing. City Manager Giovanatto reported that Council adopted a Resolution of Intention to renew the Sonoma Tourism Improvement District (STID) on August 18, 2014 and a public meeting was held on September 15 to take public comment in accordance with applicable law. The purpose of the public hearing was to receive protests and public testimony, if any, regarding the renewal of the STID for the period of July 1, 2015 through June 30, 2025. She stated that Council was being asked to approve three documents: 1) A resolution declaring the results of the October 20 majority protest proceedings, renewing the STID, and approving both the Management District Plan and the Agreement with the STID Corporation; 2) STID Management District Plan, which specified the authorized activities of the STID; and 3) Agreement between the City of Sonoma and the STID. She stated that the agreement mirrors the terms of the original formation agreement and the annual reporting requirements would remain unchanged.

Mayor Rouse invited comments from the public. David Eichar stated that he understood that the Council was to approve the Annual Report or request changes to it. Regarding the renewal of the STID, he stated that if the 10% growth rate continued into the future, the Council should reduce the 2% assessment downward. He stated that occupancy rates had dropped as the room rates went up. He suggested a five-year renewal period so the district could be monitored.

Madolyn Agrimonti stated that the Firefighters Association was collecting funds for the annual fireworks display and she wondered if that would qualify for a STID grant.

Bill Blum stated that a 10% increase every year would be nice but was not realistic. He said their goal was to maintain the current occupancy rate and continue to grow it.

Wendy Stewart, El Pueblo Inn, and Rachel Hundley expressed support for the renewal of the TID. Mayor Rouse closed the public hearing.

It was moved by Clm. Cook, seconded by Clm. Gallian, to adopt the resolution entitled A Resolution of the City Council of the City of Sonoma Declaring Results of Majority Protest Proceedings, Renewing the Sonoma Tourism Improvement District Plan and an Agreement with the Sonoma Tourism Improvement District Corporation. The motion carried unanimously

Item 6B: **Discussion, consideration and possible action on an appeal of the Planning Commission's decision to deny the application of Leonard Tillem for a Use Permit to allow the conversion of the mixed-use building at 162-166 West Spain Street into two vacation rental units as an adaptive reuse of an historic structure.**

Mayor Rouse opened the public hearing. Planning Director Goodison reported that the Planning Commission considered the application of Leonard Tillem for a Use Permit to allow the conversion of the mixed-use building at 162-166 West Spain Street into two vacation rental units as an adaptive reuse of an historic structure on June 12 and August 14, 2014. A majority of the Planning Commission did not feel that the findings for approval of a vacation rental use were met and that there were other viable uses for the building. The loss of rental housing in the Downtown District was also a consideration. The Planning Commission denied the Use Permit application with a vote of 6-1 and on August 19 Leonard Tillem and Laura Olsen, owners of the

subject property, filed an appeal of the Planning Commission's decision. The basis for the appeal was that the building was historically significant and in the state of great disrepair.

Goodison added that under the Development Code, limited nonresidential uses including vacation rentals, could be allowed in officially designated historic structures within the Historic Overlay Zone, subject to review and approval of a Use Permit by the Planning Commission. In order to approve the adaptive reuse of an historic structure for vacation rental purposes, the Planning Commission had to make the following findings in addition to those necessary for Use Permit approval. That the alteration or adaptive reuse would: 1. Enhance, perpetuate, preserve, protect, and restore those historic districts, neighborhoods, sites, structures, and zoning districts, which contribute to the aesthetic and cultural benefit of the City. 2. Stabilize and improve the economic value of historic districts, neighborhoods, sites, structures, and zoning districts. 3. Preserve diverse architectural design reflecting phases of the City's history, and encourage design styles and construction methods and materials that are compatible with the surrounding neighborhood(s). 4. Promote and encourage continued private ownership and utilization of structures now so owned and used. 5. Substantially comply with the applicable Secretary of Interior Standards and Guidelines for the Treatment of Historic Properties as well as the applicable requirements and guidelines of this Chapter; and 6. Restore and rehabilitate a historic structure and/or property, which is listed or eligible for listing on the State Register of Historic Places, that has fallen into such a level of disrepair that the economic benefits of adaptive reuse are necessary to stem further deterioration, correct deficient conditions, or avoid demolition as implemented in the conditions of project approval.

Mayor Rouse invited comments from the public. Tom Anderson, representing the appellants, described the exterior of the structure as Greek Revival style. He stated that he prepared the latest cost estimate, which was higher than the original estimate and closer to the actual costs of the necessary improvements due to items such as the required sprinkler system being left out of the original estimate. Anderson said that the City would realize a reduction in the amount of water usage and traffic if the units were converted to vacation rentals.

Clm. Gallian inquired about the historic aspects of the structure. Anderson stated that the building finial had been identified in the League for Historic Preservation's survey and that the shutters would be done in the Greek Revival style.

George McKale stated that he conducted a National Register inspection and concluded the designation would apply because of the buildings' connection with Mr. Weill.

Karla Noyes stated that a wise investor invests in his property and uses the revenue generated by it to make improvements. She suggested the owners look into the availability of rehabilitation tax credits.

Rachel Hundley spoke in support of the decision by the Planning Commission and stated that she did not feel the property met the criteria for an exception.

David Eichar stated that the report did not include a before and after evaluation of the value of the property.

Chris Petlock stated that if approved for vacation rental status it would never be residential again. He stated support for the Planning Commission decision.

Fred Allebach stated that if Sonoma was going to be a tourist mecca then the Council might as well approve the conversion.

Laura Olson stated the property had been in her family for fifteen years. She said they had not abused the property and had performed routine maintenance as needed. She stated that it was in need of some major renovations they want to restore the home to its original state. She asked the Council to approve the appeal. Mayor Rouse closed the public hearing.

Clm. Barbose stated his support for the appeal. He stated it was justified because of the major improvements that were necessary and pointed out that it would take a significant investment in the property to complete the renovation. He pointed out that everyone had agreed that business offices were not a viable use of the property plus he felt the project met the criteria for adaptive reuse. Clm. Cook did not agree and he said he believed the process had worked. Clm. Gallian and Clm. Brown stated their agreement with Clm. Barbose. Mayor Rouse stated he would vote to uphold the decision of the Planning Commission. He said to do otherwise ran

Item 6B: **Appeal of the Planning Commission's decision regarding 162-166 West Spain Street, Continued**

counter to the Council saying it did not want to change the fabric of the community and would protect residential areas from vacation rentals. He felt the Planning Commission had vetted the issues thoroughly and he agreed with their decision.

It was moved by Clm. Barbose, seconded by Clm. Gallian, to uphold the appeal thereby overturning the decision of the Planning Commission. The motion carried three to two, Clm. Cook and Mayor Rouse dissented.

RECESS: The meeting recessed from 7:30 to 7:45 p.m.

Item 6C: **Introduction of an ordinance amending Chapter 19.94 of the Sonoma Municipal Code to implement Housing Element programs and comply with State law.**

Mayor Rouse opened the public hearing. Planning Director Goodison reported that Implementation Program #18 of the Housing Element called upon the City to amend the Growth Management Ordinance by ensuring that unused and forfeited housing allocations were tracked and added back into the allocation pool for distribution. He said this change was recommended by the Department of Housing and Community Development as part of the previous update of the Housing Element and implementing it was necessary to preserve the City's status as a Certified Local Government with respect to its Housing Element. Goodison explained the specific changes being recommended.

Mayor Rouse invited comments from the public. Fred Allebach stated that the needed to be a way to ensure that all the housing units possible were actually built.

Madolyn Agrimonti stated the Housing Element was important and she was pleased that it supported the mobilehome parks. Mayor Rouse closed the public hearing.

Clm. Barbose stated he understood that this amendment was necessary to have a certified Housing Element but he was not happy with it because it was authorizing more homes than currently allowed during a severe drought. It was moved by Clm. Gallian, seconded by Clm. Brown, to introduce the ordinance entitled An Ordinance of the City Council of the City of Sonoma Amending Chapter 19.94 of the Sonoma Municipal code by Making Revisions in Accordance With Implementation Program #18 of the City's Housing Element. The motion carried unanimously.

Item 6D: **Discussion, consideration, and possible action regarding the Field of Dreams Well 8 Project, including consideration of approving a Negative Declaration.**

Mayor Rouse opened the public hearing. Public Works Director Takasugi reported the City currently owned seven groundwater wells, five of which were operational which were installed between 1944 and 1960. Four of the five operational wells have experienced normal, but unrecoverable, declines in production due to age or relining. Due to the combined effects of well age and relining, the City's groundwater system no longer efficiently extracted groundwater from the underlying aquifer. As part of a 2010 well siting study, a privately owned groundwater well used to irrigate sports fields at the Field of Dreams site at 175 First Street West was identified as an underutilized well. The well was located on City property leased to the Sonoma Valley Field of Dreams Association. In 2013, the City completed a feasibility study, including pump testing, and determined that the well could produce enough groundwater to be a cost-effective alternative to drilling a new well. In March 2014, a lease amendment was executed with the Field of Dreams Association, giving the City operational ownership of the well, while still supplying irrigation water to the Field of Dreams. A CEQA Draft Initial Study had been completed to assess the potential environmental impacts of the Field of Dreams Well 8 project. The Initial Study was sent for public review on a 30-day comment period, and was now being presented to the Council for review and approval. Takasugi stated that the new well facility would include a treatment building, new pump, an all-weather un-paved access road, and a 6-inch water line connecting the well to the City's water distribution system and was scheduled for bidding and construction in the spring and early summer of 2015.

Clm. Cook stated that the City needed to install a well in the Plaza for landscaping. Clm. Gallian asked about the proposed removal of trees. Consultant Brian Bacerini stated that new trees would be planted as a mitigation measure.

Item 6D: **Field of Dreams Well 8 Project, Continued**

Mayor Rouse invited comments from the public. Chris Petlock stated that the City needed to take advantage of this opportunity. Mayor Rouse closed the public hearing.

It was moved by Clm. Gallian, seconded by Clm. Brown, to adopt Res. No. 51-2014 entitled A Resolution of the City Council of the city of Sonoma Adopting a Mitigated Negative Declaration and Mitigation Monitoring Program for the Well #8 Project, the Conversion of an Existing Groundwater Well Used for Irrigation Into a Municipal Well to be Used for Potable Water Supply, Located at the Sonoma Valley Field of Dreams Sports Site, 175 Firs Street West. The motion carried unanimously.

7. REGULAR CALENDAR – CITY COUNCIL

Item 7A: **Discussion, consideration and possible action relating to establishment of a Tobacco Retailer's Licensing program.**

Assistant City Attorney Pistol reported that, pursuant to previous Council direction, staff had developed an ordinance, which would require the licensure of tobacco retailers for Council's review and consideration. The draft ordinance would implement a program requiring tobacco retailers to obtain a Tobacco Retailer License from the City in addition to any other license required under the Municipal Code and State law. The license fees collected under the program would fund the compliance-monitoring program, which would include a youth decoy sting operation coordinated by the Police Chief and Sheriff's Department. The community benefit of the ordinance establishing a comprehensive Tobacco Retailer's License program was that it would enhance the City's ability to respond to tobacco product sales to minors at the local level. A verified violation could result in the suspension or possible revocation of a businesses' ability to sell tobacco products. This enforcement tool furthers the City Council's expressed desire to eliminate tobacco sales to minors by providing a quicker response to violations than is currently provided at the State level. Ms. Pistol provided additional specific details of the proposed ordinance.

Mayor Rouse invited comments from the public. Rachel Hundley stated that similar ordinances had been challenged in the courts with some being upheld and some not. She questioned if the proposed ordinance would place the City at risk of being sued. Chris Petlock stated his support for the ordinance. Terry Spindola, Briar Patch Cafe and Tobacco Store, stated that he had been in business for thirty-four years and he was concerned about how this would affect his ongoing business and its future potential sale. Pam Granger, American Lung Association, expressed strong support for the ordinance and stated it was highly important to regulate any tobacco sales near the schools. Robin Yankey and one other un-named person spoke in support of the Briar Patch stating that it was a great place for people to get together and socialize. Christine Mesmer and Jack Wagner spoke of the need to regulate tobacco sales. Elizabeth Emerson, Coalition for Tobacco Free Sonoma County, thanked the Council for its support in moving the ordinance along.

Clm. Barbose stated that it was not a good use of Council's time to continue discussion of a matter that would not come up for a vote until after at least two of the current Councilmembers were gone. It was moved by Clm. Cook, seconded by Clm. Gallian, to table the discussion until after the election and the new Councilmembers were seated. The motion carried unanimously. City Manager Giovanatto confirmed with the Council that the smoking ordinance should also be held over until after the first of the year. Mayor Rouse closed the public hearing.

RECESS: The meeting recessed from 9:30 to 9:45 p.m.

Item 7B: **Discussion, Consideration and Possible Action on a contract with the Sonoma Valley Health and Recreation Association Request for Grant Funding for the Community Swimming Pool.**

Mayor Rouse and Clm. Brown announced that because they sat on the Board of Directors for the Association, they would recuse themselves. Mayor Pro Tem Cook took the gavel.

City Manager Giovanatto reported on July 21, SVHRA presented a proposal to the Council requesting City support to assist in the property purchase to secure the site to construct the facility. Subsequent to that request, staff and representatives of SVHRA met and worked through potential funding agreements. Since submitting their original funding request, the SVHRA had secured their immediate funding levels necessary to meet the escrow closing. Through a series of discussions between SVHRA and the City's representatives, tentative agreement was reached to structure a revised agreement, which would create a 10-year

Item 7B: **Sonoma Valley Health and Recreation Association Loan, Continued**

Scholarship program equal to a maximum amount of $25,000 per year. The scholarship program would go into effect as of the date of the opening of the pool unless the pool was not open within seven years at which time the agreement would become null and void. In line with the original discussions, the form of the scholarship program would be determined prior to the pool opening.

Clm. Barbose confirmed that the scholarship money would be held in a trust under the City's control.

Mayor Pro Tem Cook invited comments from the public. Michael Woods, SVHRA, stated they had reviewed the agreement and were in agreement with its terms.

Councilmembers briefly discussed the issue of whether the proposed scholarships should be available to just City residents or offered to all Valley residents. They ultimately agreed the scholarships would be offered to all Valley residents. It was moved by Clm. Gallian, seconded by Clm. Barbose, to adopt Res. No. 52-2014 entitled A Resolution of the City Council of the City of Sonoma Approving Funding Agreement for Community Use of Swimming Pool and Establishing Special Fund to Pay for Same. The motion carried unanimously, Brown and Rouse absent.

Item 7C: **Discussion, consideration and possible action to adopt an updated Special Events Policy.**

Associate Planner Atkins reported that the City's Special Events Policy, last updated in 2007, provided rules and processes utilized by staff and the Community Services and Environment Commission (CSEC) in relation to Special Events. The stated purpose of the policy was to "seek an appropriate balance between the benefits of organized events and their associated impacts on the community". At the request of the City Council, and over the past several months, CSEC conducted a thorough review of the policy and drafted proposed revisions. On August 18, 2014, the City Council and CSEC discussed the policy at a joint study session and at their September 10, 2014 meeting CSEC received input from the public and event organizers. Taking the input from the City Council and the public into consideration, CSEC modified their proposed revisions and voted to forward the policy to the City Council for adoption. She then provided a summary of the major changes being suggested.

Clm. Barbose stated that he did not feel his previously stated concerns had been addressed in the revisions. For instance, the prohibition on tents and multi-day events, which would affect the Film Festival, was still included except if specifically approved by CSEC with no mention of it being appealable to the City Council. Barbose stated he did not like the prohibition on the SONOMAWOOD sign or the ability of the Red & White Ball to cordon off exclusive use.

Atkins explained that each of those provisions included a provision for the CSEC to make exceptions. Clm. Barbose responded that the provision for an exception did not include any criteria for making the exception.

Clm. Brown stated that any decision made by CSEC was appealable to the City Council. Clm. Cook stated he had the same concerns expressed by Clm. Barbose.

Mayor Rouse invited comments from the public. Michael Coats suggested the City hire an event coordinator, someone familiar with event management. Jack Wagner said he was not sure the policy needed to be updated. Rachel Hundley stated that the Council needed to establish criteria for the discretionary decisions. Matt Dockstader, Destination Races, stated events were very important to the town. He said the City process was the least enjoyable part of his job and that the amount of time it took to process the application was far too much and the fees were too high. Chris Petlock, CSEC Chairman, stated that they did take Council's instructions into consideration and they provided a lot of room for exceptions. Cameron Stuckey, CSEC member, stated that they did their job and tried to balance the needs of event promoters with the need to protect the Plaza.

Clm. Cook stated that he wanted the City to have an event coordinator. He did not agree with allowing the Red and White Ball to cordon off a section of the Plaza for their exclusive use.

Clm. Brown agreed that an event coordinator was needed.

Clm. Gallian stated that the proposed revisions addressed most of the comments made at the joint study session. She urged caution in hiring an event coordinator because the thing she

Item 7C: **Special Event Policy, Continued**

heard the most from event organizers was that the fees were already too high. She supported adoption of the policy.

Clm. Barbose stated he did appreciate the work CSEC put into the policy revisions but he felt the Council had provided specific feedback that was not reflected in the document and he wanted to see criteria included for exceptions. He felt it needed to be sent back to CSEC for additional revisions. Cook and Brown agreed.

Mayor Rouse stated the policy was a work in progress and he could live with it. He felt an event coordinator would be nice but he did not know how realistic that was.

It was moved by Clm. Gallian, seconded by Mayor Rouse, to adopt the resolution entitled A Resolution of the City Council of the City of Sonoma Adopting a Special Events Policy. The motion failed by the following vote: AYES: Gallian, Rouse. NOES: Cook, Barbose, Brown. ABSENT: None.

Item 7D: **Discussion, consideration and possible action to adopt an Urgency Ordinance placing a moratorium on Automated Purchasing Machines in the City of Sonoma.**

City Manager Giovanatto reported that automated purchasing machines were freestanding kiosk-type machines that allow people to sell their cell phones, mp3 players, and other similar devices for an immediate cash distribution. They use specialized technology to assess the value of the device based on model, condition, and value on secondary markets. According to the Police Chief although the automated purchasing machines have advanced security features, they were deficient in deterring criminal exploitation because the machine was unable to verify if the government ID and fingerprint belong to the same person completing the transaction. In addition, there were no security features to prevent unauthorized third party transactions from occurring. For those reasons, the Police Chief recommended adoption of the urgency ordinance banning the automated purchasing machines.

The public comment period was opened and closed with none received. It was moved by Clm. Gallian, seconded by Clm. Brown, to adopt the urgency ordinance. The motion carried unanimously.

Due to the lateness of the hour, it was moved by Clm. Barbose, seconded by Mayor Rouse to put off all reports and comments. The motion carried unanimously.

8. REGULAR CALENDAR – CITY COUNCIL AS THE SUCCESSOR AGENCY - None

9. COUNCILMEMBERS' REPORTS AND COMMENTS - None

10. CITY MANAGER COMMENTS AND ANNOUNCEMENTS INCLUDING ANNOUNCEMENTS FROM SUCCESSOR AGENCY STAFF

City Manager Giovanatto reported the following: 1) Ownership of the Montini preserve had officially been transferred to the City and the ribbon cutting ceremony would occur November 1. 2) She had presented outgoing Valley of the Moon Fire District Boardmember Cameron Jarrett with a certificate and key to the City on behalf of the City at their last meeting.

11. COMMENTS FROM THE PUBLIC - None

12. ADJOURNMENT

The meeting was adjourned at 10:54 p.m. in memory of Donna Lewis.

I HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing minutes were duly and regularly adopted at a regular meeting of the Sonoma City Council on the 17th day of November 2014.

_____
Gay Johann
Assistant City Manager / City Clerk